v	
on	
A	
Plaintiff,	18-MJ-3161 (KMW)
	MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN
	SUPPORT TO THE MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER
Defendant.	
	X onX Plaintiff,

Peter J. Gleason, P.C 935 South Lake Blvd., Suite 17 Mahopac, New York 10541-3222

Table of Contents

		<u>PAGE NO</u>
TABLE OF A	AUTHORITIES	ii, iii
INTRODUCT	ΓΙΟΝ	1
PRELIMINA	RY STATEMENT	4
FACTS		6
ARGUMENT	Γ	7
I.	MICHAEL AVENATTI	7
II.	NEW YORK POLITICAL CORRUPTION	8
III.	ADDITIONAL EXAMPLES OF NEW YORK CORRUPTION	9
CONCLUSIO	ON	10

Table of Authorities

PAGE NO.

Cases

Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co. v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London, 176 Misc.2d 605, 611-12 (Sup.Ct. N.Y. County 1998), aff'd, 263 A.D.2d 367 (1st Dep't 1999).
Ambac Assurance Corp. v. Countrywide Home loans, Inc., 124 A.D.3d 129, 135-36 (1st Dep't 2014), NY Slip Op 04439 Decided on June 9, 2016 Court of Appeals
Chahoon v. Commonwealth, 62 Va. (21 Gratt.) 822, 841-42 (1871)
Hudson Val. Mar., Inc. v. Town of Cortlandt, 30 A.D.3d 377, 378 (2d Dep't 2006)
Hunt v. Blackburn, 128 U.S. 470 (1888)1
Hyatt v. State of Cal. Franchise Tax Bd., 105 A.D.3d 186, 205 (2d Dep't 2013)
Matter of Jacqueline F., 47 NY2d 215, 219 [1979]5
Matter of Priest v Hennessy, 51 NY2d 62, 67 [1980]5
People v. Osario, 75 N.Y.2d 80 (1989)
Rossi v Blue Cross & Blue Shield, 73 NY2d 588, 593-594 [1989]5
Schmitt v. Emery, 2 N.W.2d 413 (Minn. 1942)
Spectrum Sys. Intl. Corp. v Chemical Bank, 78 NY2d 371, 377 [1991]

Case 1:18-mj-03161-KMW Document 51 Filed 05/17/18 Page 4 of 14

195 Misc. 2d 99, 108 (Sup. Ct. NY County 2003)	
United States v. Kovel, 296 F.2d 918 (2d Cir. 1961)	3
Upjohn Co. v. United States, 449 U.S. 383, 390 (1981)	
Yemini v. Goldberg, 12 Misc. 3d 1114 (Sup. Ct. Nassau County 2006)	
Statutes	
CPLR 3101[a][1]5	
CPLR 4503[a][1]5	
NY Civil Rights Law Section 50-b.	
NY Criminal Procedure Law Section 240.50.	
Treatises	
Restatement (Third) of the Law Governing Lawyers2	

INTRODUCTION

The origins of the attorney-client privilege date back to English common law, where it was considered an essential tool to facilitate open and honest dialogue between lawyers and their clients. Like most common law concepts, the attorney-client privilege eventually migrated to the United States and first emerged in American jurisprudence in the Nineteenth Century. In 1888, the United States Supreme Court formally recognized the attorney-client privilege in *Hunt v*. *Blackburn*, 128 U.S. 470 (1888), and later reaffirmed the privilege and its purposes of encouraging the free flow of information between client and attorney and enhancing the quality of legal advice in *Upjohn Co. v. United States*, 449 U.S. 383, 390 (1981).

Since *Hunt v. Blackburn*, exceptions to the general "waiver" rule have emerged. One such exception, the joint defense privilege was first conceptualized prior to *Hunt* in *Chahoon v. Commonweath*, 62 Va. (21 Gratt.) 822, 841-42 (1871). Here, the Virginia Supreme Court held that a criminal defendant did not waive the attorney-client privilege by sharing confidential information with his co-defendants' attorneys since fostering open communication enhanced to quality of legal counsel.

Many years later Courts began to recognize the joint defense privilege in civil matters as well. In 1942, in *Schmitt v. Emery*, 2 N.W.2d 413 (Minn. 1942), the Minnesota Supreme Court applied the same principles employed by the Virginia Supreme Court nearly seven decades earlier in *Chahoon* and held that co-defendants in a personal injury case did not waive the attorney-client privilege by openly discussing the details of the case in the presence of each other's lawyers.

More recently, In *United States v. Kovel*, 296 F.2d 918 (2d Cir. 1961), the United States

Second Circuit held that the work product of an accountant was protected by the attorney-client privilege where the accountant had specifically been retained by the attorney in response to criminal tax charges brought against the client. The Second Circuit compared the role of the accountant to an interpreter facilitating communications between a lawyer and a non-English speaking client, and stated that the accountant's work was essential for "effective consultation" and analysis of the client's legal position. Therefore, communications by and between agents of an attorney or client related to the underlying legal representation where the agent has been retained to assist the attorney in providing legal advice are generally afforded privileged status. From the joint defense privilege evolved yet another exception to the traditional rule that the presence of a third party waives the attorney client privilege, the common interest doctrine.

The common interest doctrine was intended to apply to confidential disclosures made to third parties, which are represented by separate counsel and share any common legal interest.

The common interest privilege is broadly defined in the *Restatement (Third) of the Law Governing Lawyers:*

If two or more clients with a common interest in a litigated or non-litigated matter are represented by separate lawyers and they agree to exchange information concerning the matter, a communication of any such client that otherwise qualifies as privilege that relates to the matter is privileged as against third persons.

New York Courts were delayed in embracing the common interest doctrine and initially refused to adopt the Restatement's definition. The New York Court of Appeals refused to extend

the common interest privilege protection to communications between an attorney and two separately represented co-defendants where one co-defendant was acting as the other's language interpreter, *People v. Osario*, 75 N.Y.2d 80 (1989). This significant departure from the principles established by the courts in *Chahoon and Kovel*, was distinguished by the Court of Appeals in *Osario*, by virtue that the interpreter's exposure to the confidential dialogue between his co-defendant and the co-defendant's attorney was unrelated to his own defense. As a result, the Court ruled that there was no common interest, and the communication was not privileged.

The progeny of *Osario* resulted in New York courts cautiously applying privilege as a narrow exception to the traditional rule of waiver of the attorney-client privilege. Statewide, courts limited the scope of the common interest privilege requiring that any shared interest between clients be "identical" (or nearly identical) as opposed to merely similar. *Hyatt v. State of Cal. Franchise Tax Bd.*, 105 A.D.3d 186, 205 (2d Dep't 2013). Further restricting the concept of, common interest, many New York courts imposed a "litigation requirement" to the doctrine, requiring that parties must face pending or reasonably anticipated litigation for the privilege to apply to communications made in furtherance of a common legal interest. *Hyatt*, 105 A.D.3d at 205; *Hudson Val. Mar., Inc. v. Town of Cortlandt*, 30 A.D.3d 377, 378 (2d Dep't 2006); *Stenovich v. Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz*, 195 Misc. 2d 99, 108 (Sup. Ct. NY County 2003); *Yemini v. Goldberg*, 12 Misc. 3d 1114 (Sup. Ct. Nassau County 2006); *Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co. v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's, London*, 176 Misc.2d 605, 611-12 (Sup.Ct. N.Y. County 1998), aff'd, 263 A.D.2d 367 (1st Dep't 1999).

In 2014, the First Department, in a unanimous decision, eliminated the litigation requirement, *Ambac Assurance Corp. v. Countrywide Home loans, Inc.*, 124 A.D.3d 129, 135-36

(1st Dep't 2014)

More recently in 2016, the Court of Appeals modified the lower Court's ruling in *Ambac*, rejecting the more expansive application of the common interest privilege. It was reasoned that it should be limited to "situations where the benefit and the necessity of shared communications are at their highest, and the potential for misuse is minimal." For example, when litigation is pending or imminent, "the threat of mandatory disclosure may chill the parties' exchange of privileged information and therefore thwart any desire to coordinate legal strategy."

The New York Court of Appeals in *Ambac* made clear that the common interest doctrine applies under New York law only if the following three elements are met: (1) the parties share a common interest; (2) the communications are made in furtherance of the common legal interest; and (3) the communications relate to a pending or reasonably anticipated litigation.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Confidentiality is the cornerstone to the practice of law. Licensed professionals such as those working in the field of law acknowledge that confidentiality is sacrosanct. However professionals do and should seek the advice and or insight from other professionals in their field. In the same way a physician might seek advice from another physician on a patient's unusual medical condition so too should attorneys reach out to their colleagues at the bar if an unusual legal matter arises. In order for the integrity of the legal profession to survive these attorney-to-attorney communications must be privileged.

This very issue was recently resolved by the New York State Court of Appeals which allows for privileged communications to be exchanged between parties represented by separate counsel without losing the privilege, so long as the parties share a "common interest" *Ambac*

Assurance Corp. v. Countrywide Home loans, Inc. 2016 NY Slip Op 04439 Decided on June 9, 2016 Court of Appeals.

The attorney-client privilege shields from disclosure any confidential communications between an attorney and his or her client made for the purpose of obtaining or facilitating legal advice in the course of a professional relationship (see CPLR 4503[a][1]). The oldest among the common law evidentiary privileges, the attorney-client privilege "fosters the open dialogue between lawyer and client that is deemed essential to effective representation" (Spectrum Sys. Intl. Corp. v Chemical Bank, 78 NY2d 371, 377 [1991]). "It exists to ensure that one seeking legal advice will be able to confide fully and freely in his attorney, secure in the knowledge that his confidences will not later be exposed to public view to his embarrassment or legal detriment" (Matter of Priest v Hennessy, 51 NY2d 62, 67 [1980]).

Despite the social utility of the privilege, it is in "[o]bvious tension" with the policy of this State favoring liberal discovery (Spectrum, 78 NY2d at 376-377; see also CPLR 3101[a][1] [directing that there be "full disclosure of all matter material and necessary in the prosecution or defense of an action"]). Because the privilege shields from disclosure pertinent information and therefore "constitutes an 'obstacle' to the truth-finding process," it must be narrowly construed (Matter of Jacqueline F., 47 NY2d 215, 219 [1979]; see Spectrum, 78 NY2d at 377). The party asserting the privilege bears the burden of establishing its entitlement to protection by showing that the communication at issue was between an attorney and a client "for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of legal advice or services, in the course of a professional relationship," that the communication is predominantly of a legal character, that the communication was confidential and that the privilege was not waived (Rossi v Blue Cross & Blue Shield, 73 NY2d

588, 593-594 [1989]).

The motion for a protective order should be granted. The undersigned attorney, Peter Gleason, (Counsel) has given legal consultations to numerous victims of political corruption. Counsel is aware and follows the ethical obligations regarding both attorney client privilege and discussing pending matters with the media, NY CPLR 4503(a)(1) which codifies the attorney-client privilege, and NY Rules of Professional Conduct. Counsel's discussions, regarding Eric Schneiderman, with the above named Plaintiff, himself an attorney, which Plaintiff may have memorialized, should be privileged communications and not subject to disclosure to any third party. Any conversations between Counsel and Plaintiff were in furtherance of Counsel's role as an attorney investigating a potential claim and or representing clients.

FACTS

The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) on or about April 9, 2018 pursuant to a search warrant raided and confiscated legal files from the Law Offices of Plaintiff. Counsel's application by dint of a letter motion dated May 11, 2018 seeks a protective order pursuant to NY Criminal Procedure Law Section 240.50. (CPL 240.50)

Counsel's letter motion acknowledges he had communications with Plaintiff. Those communications took place well before the recent resignation of NYS Attorney General Eric Schneiderman. Counsel is unaware whether or not Plaintiff memorialized said communications. The possibility Plaintiff may have created any document, memorandum or digital notes of said communications, is the basis for the instant application.

CPL 240.50 provides in part:

The Court in which the criminal action is pending may upon motion of either party, or of any affected person, or upon determination of a motion of either party for an order of discovery, or upon its own initiative, issue a protective order denying, limiting, conditioning, delaying or regulating discovery pursuant to this article for good cause, including constitutional limitations, danger to the integrity of physical evidence or a substantial risk of physical harm, intimidation, economic reprisal, bribery or unjustified annoyance or embarrassment to any person or an adverse effect upon the legitimate needs of law enforcement, including the protection of the confidentiality of informants, or any other factor or set of factors which outweighs the usefulness of the discovery.

MICHAEL AVENATTI

Counsel's concern for what might be part of Plaintiff's seized files is related to what counsel perceives as the reckless and wanton behavior of Michael Avenatti the attorney for Ms. Clifford who is seeking to intervene in this matter. A few examples of Avenatti's questionable tactics, culled from the May 9, 2018 letter filed by the Plaintiff in this matter are:

- Avenatti attributed certain, "fraudulent" wire transfers to the incorrect Michael Cohen.
- Avenatti claims to have possession of Bank records belonging to Michael Cohen.

These two examples necessitated the instant motion. To wit, if Ms. Clifford is given intervenor status, that would potentially give rise to Mr. Avenatti having access to potential records relating to the instant motion. Avenatti's fast and loose style is exactly why a protective order should be granted. Furthermore, NY Civil Rights Law Section 50-b provides in part:

The identity of any victim of a sex offense, as defined in article one hundred thirty or section 255.25,255.26 or 255.27 of the penal law, or of an offense involving the alleged transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus, shall be confidential. No report, paper, picture, photograph, court file or other documents, in the custody or possession of any public officer or employee, which identifies such a victim shall be made available for public inspection. No such public officer or employee shall disclose any portion of any police report, court file, or other document, which tends to identify such a victim except as provided in subdivision two of this section.

NEW YORK POLITICAL CORRUPTION

But for the systemic political corruption in New York the underlying matter could have been addressed and reported to the New York County District Attorney (DANY) and then dealt with accordingly. Unfortunately, Counsel's history with DANY is one of frustration in reporting prima facie evidence of governmental corruption only to be ignored. The following are a few examples of what Counsel previously reported to DANY that were totally ignored:

- William Rapfogel, declined by DANY, prosecuted by NY State Attorney General.
- Sheldon Silver, declined by DANY, prosecuted by US Attorney.
- Stolen FDNY medical records, declined by DANY, resulted in civil action that settled, including legal fees, in excess of \$300,000.
- Human trafficking complaint against the Village Voice and Backpage.com, declined by DANY, presently being prosecuted by US Attorney in Phoenix Arizona.
- (2) George Arzt Political Corruption complaints, both declined by DANY.

The most recent "George Arzt," complaint is heretofore attached and marked **Exhibit A**. This Arzt Complaint, as the time stamp indicates, was filed on July 17, 2013 with the NYC Board of Elections with an identical copy mailed to DANY. Shortly after the copy was mailed to DANY, I was in 100 Centre Street (Manhattan Criminal Court) on business when an unknown individual, who presented the appearance of an DANY employee, politely stopped me in the hallway, addressed me by my surname and said something to the following, "Watch your back, they are trying to figure out a way to arrest you, most of us here like what you do, but not the

The exhibited Arzt complaint not only gives a clear snapshot of the open and notorious

boss."

political corruption within New York Politics but it should also be mentioned that Arzt was at relevant times a consultant for both DANY as well as the neighboring Kings County District Attorney.

Furthermore, Arzt, a former journalist is used here as a glaring example of how incestuously deep the political waters connecting the media and politics run in New York.

The Arzt complaint was also submitted to the Moreland Commission, prior to its being prematurely disbanded, that fell upon deaf ears. Media consultants, such as Arzt, pervert, exploit and operate within the body politic creating such a toxic atmosphere with impunity while making it impossible to report with any appropriate satisfaction the misdeeds of the politically powerful.

OTHER EXAMPLES OF NEW YORK CORRUPTION

The following examples as to why a victim of a sexual assault, at the hands of someone politically connected, should be forewarned before seeking redress within the channels of the New York criminal justice system.

- Louisa Esposito. Ms. Esposito's horrific experience can be seen in this YouTube clip https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TnPbcoZuVGY&feature=youtu.be, At 6:27 of this video clip, it indicates the spokesperson for the alleged predator's law firm is Bob Liff, who is the Senior Vice President at George Arzt Communications. The Arzt connection may explain why the predator was never pursued by DANY. However, Ms. Esposito also lodged complaints, to no avail with:
 - Attorney General Elliot Spitzer
 - Attorney General Andrew Cuomo
 - Attorney General Eric Schneiderman

Additionally please see the following: http://www.tmz.com/videos/0_v7m5491m/

The attorney representing the victim on that matter, Joseph Murray, has found it impossible for

any one to investigate Elliott Spitzer's apparent death threats against his former lover and her

family.

And then there is Harvey Weinstein, who, from all accounts, was given a pass by DANY.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing it is fair to say the State of New York is a safe haven for the

politically connected to do as they please. Those who represent the victims of political

corruption, as does counsel, do so under severe scrutiny and should not be dissuaded by the

disturbing winds of harassment. For example, the NY Post, in a recent editorial accused Counsel

of a missed opportunity regarding the instant matter. The reality is the NY Post should have

opined, some time ago, regarding their missed opportunity with respect to their colleague Roger

Ailes.

The ethical and appropriate step in discussing a legal issue with a sympathetic colleague

at the bar, who has a common interest, was not only logical but appropriate under the

circumstances.

WHEREFORE, Counsel respectfully asks this Court to grant the motion for a protective

order, and that the Court grant any other relief that it deems just, equitable, and proper.

DATE: May 17, 2018

Putnam, New York

Respectfully,

//PJG//

Peter J. Gleason, P.C

935 South Lake Blvd Suite 17

Mahopac, New York 10541-3222

Tel: (646) 872-3546

TO: All Parties Via ECF

10.

EXHIBIT A

PECFIVED

NEW YORK YORK CITY BOARD OF ELECTIONS	BOARD OF ELECTIONS THE CITY OF NEW YOU X 32 BROADWAY		
In the matter of Scott Stringer, candidate for Comptroller of The City of New York		2013 JUL 17 P 12: 07	i
THE City Of INCW TOTA	_x		

STATE OF NEW YORK, COUNTY OF NEW YORK) ss.:

PETER J. GLEASON, an attorney licensed to practice before all courts of the State hereby affirms under penalty of perjury that the following is submitted so that this complaint will first be reviewed administratively:

- 1. Scott Stringer, by dint of filing nominating petitions, is a candidate for Comptroller of The City of New York.
- 2. According to the New York City Campaign Finance Board, candidate Stringer, under his campaign's expenditures lists six payments made to George/Arzt Communications Inc. These six payments were made between 12/13/12 04/29/13 and totaled \$8,070.77. According to Candidate Stringer's filing these payments were made for, "Prof. Srvcs. Public Relations". Please see heretofore attached and marked **Exhibit A**, relevant printout.
- 3. Upon information and belief, The principle of George Arzt Communications Inc., is George Arzt.
- 4. Upon information and belief, George Arzt Communications Inc., is registered with the New York City Office of the City Clerk as a lobbyist.
- 5. George Arzt on http://www.nyc.gov/lobbyistsearch/search, is listed as a lobbyist and for the period 03/20/2012 12/31/2012, Mr. Arzt was compensated by Extell Development Company for lobbying efforts targeting the Manhattan Borough President. During the time period in question Scott Stringer was the Manhattan Borough President. Please see heretofore attached and marked **Exhibit B**, relevant printout.
- 6. According to the aforementioned and exhibited public documents, during the time period 12/13/2012 12/31/2012, Mr. Arzt was lobbying Scott Stringer, in his capacity as Manhattan Borough President, at the same time Candidate Scott Stringer, placed George Arzt and or George Arzt Communication under a personal obligation to him or his employer.
- 7. Upon information and belief, George Arzt is married to Ms. Ann Weisbrod. Ms. Weisbrod is President of the Hudson Yards Development Corporation.

- 8. According to Extell Development Company's web-site they are developing over 1.7 million square feet on the footprint of the Hudson Yards.
- 9. George Arzt, according to the New York City Campaign Finance Board bundled \$1,800 in campaign contributions from executives of Extell Development Company. Please see heretofore attached and marked **Exhibit C**, relevant printout.

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully requested that the undersigned have the opportunity to be heard concerning this matter at the next public session and a thorough investigation be conducted into the ethicacy of the foregoing.

Dated: New York, New York July 17, 2013

> PETER J. GLEASON 53 N. Moore Street, 3C NY, NY 10013 (212) 431-5030

EXHIBIT A

Case 1:18-mj-03161-KROWD Document 51-1 | Filed 05/17446@nevPage 5 of 10st#: 8 ID: R0017423 |

١	. '					4	
-	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	08/10/2011	\$2,832.50	Prof. Srvcs. Attorney fees	Expenditure Payments St#: 4 ID: R0011827
	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	06/02/2011	\$440.00	Prof. Srvcs. Legal Services	Expenditure Payments St#: 3 ID: R0011438
***************************************	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	04/29/2011	\$137.50	Prof. Srvcs. Legal Services	Expenditure Payments St#: 3 ID: R0010562
dissipation of the state of the state of	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	01/21/2011	\$1,347.50	Prof. Srvcs. Legal Services	Expenditure Payments St#: 3 ID: R0010007
The property property and the fact of the	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	02/11/2011	\$2,045.00	Prof. Srvcs. Legal Services	Expenditure Payments St#: 3 ID: R0010009
	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	03/24/2011	\$137.50	Prof. Srvcs. Legal Services	Expenditure Payments St#: 3 ID: R0010256
	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	10/17/2011	\$110.00	Prof. Srvcs. Legal services	Expenditure Payments St#: 4 ID: R0012737
	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	10/17/2011	\$412.50	Prof. Srvcs. Legal services	Expenditure Payments St#: 4 ID: R0012739
	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	12/16/2011	\$367.50	Prof. Srvcs. Attorney	Expenditure Payments St#: 4 ID: R0013386
	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	11/21/2011	\$137.50	Prof. Srvcs. Legal services	Expenditure Payments St#: 4 ID: R0012837
	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	02/07/2012	\$917.50	Prof. Srvcs. Attorney	Expenditure Payments St#: 5 ID: R0014684
	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	01/06/2012	\$917.50	Prof. Srvcs. Attorney	Expenditure Payments St#: 4 ID: R0013465
	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	08/19/2010	\$1,665.00	Prof. Srvcs. Legal	Expenditure Payments St#: 2 ID: R0008509
and the second second second	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	08/11/2010	\$4,285.00	Prof. Srvcs. Legal	Expenditure Payments St#: 2 ID: R0008515
Selection of the select	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	10/07/2010	\$1,780.00	Prof. Srvcs. Attorney	Expenditure Payments St#: 2 ID: R0009273
ARTHUR OF AT WHITE AND ARTHUR AND	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	10/14/2010	\$452.50	Prof. Srvcs. Attorney	Expenditure Payments St#: 2 ID: R0009285
meleologicologicosci (conceptoral)	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	12/07/2010	\$1,113.79	Prof. Srvcs. Attorney Fees	Expenditure Payments St#: 2 ID: R0009402
ediceco: Stalies Apicoldecoses	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	12/07/2010		Prof. Srvcs. Attorney Fees	Expenditure Payments St#: 2 ID: R0009404
Commission to property that the state of the	Genova, Burns & Giantomasi	New York, NY 10006	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	03/18/2010	301.707.300	Prof. Srvcs. Legal	Expenditure Payments St#: 1 ID: R0007395
ofested Phasescapes in the Populary	George Arzt Communications Inc	New York, NY 10038	(2013)	12/13/2012		Prof. Srvcs. Public Relations	Expenditure Payments St#: 6 ID: R0015578
Adjust (Creens and pastering)	George Arzt Communications Inc	NY 10038	(2013)	03/25/2013		Prof. Srvcs. Public Relations	Expenditure Payments St#: 8 ID: R0015906
to editable with second repedent	George Arzt Communications Inc		Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	02/25/2013		Prof. Srvcs. Public Relations	Expenditure Payments St#: 7 ID: R0015685
á	- Comment	and the second s	l	1	•	This years	

Case 1:18-mj-03161-KMW Document 51-1, Filed 05/17/18 Page 6 of 10

		Լ-KMW _Docur	nent 51-1	Filed 05/	17/18 Page 6 of	10
George Arzt Communications Inc	New York, NY 10038	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	01/18/2013	\$1,500.00	Prof. Srvcs. Public Relations	Expenditure Payments St#: 7 ID: R0015634
George Arzt Communications Inc	New York, NY 10038	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	02/04/2013	\$1,500.00	Prof. Srvcs. Public Relations	Expenditure Payments St#: 7 ID: R0015681
George Arzt Communications Inc	New York, NY 10038	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	04/29/2013	\$2,000.00	Prof. Srvcs. Public Relations	Expenditure Payments St#: 8 ID: R0017417
GMMB	Washington, DC 20007	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	03/07/2013	\$794.00	Campgn Consuls. communications	Expenditure Payments St#: 7 ID: R0015715
GMMB	Washington, DC 20007	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	03/25/2013	\$12,500.00	Campgn Consuls. communications	Expenditure Payments St#: 8 ID: R0015912
GMMB	Washington, DC 20007	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	01/25/2013	\$12,500.00	Campgn Consuls. communications	Expenditure Payments St#: 7 ID: R0015637
GMMB	Washington, DC 20007	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	04/23/2013	\$12,500.00	Campgn Consuls. Communications	Expenditure Payments St#: 8 ID: R0017421
God's Love We Deliver	New York, NY 10013	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	03/18/2011	\$2,000.00	Other: explntion Donation	Expenditure Payments St#: 3 ID: R0010537
Grand Havana Room	New York, NY 10103	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	02/04/2011	\$70.97	Fundraising Meeting	Expenditure Payments St#: 3 ID: R0010048
Grata	New York, NY 10022	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	03/19/2012	\$197.93	Fundraising Meeting	Expenditure Payments St#: 5 ID: R0014772
Greystone & Co.	New York, NY 10019	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	12/21/2012	\$432.34	Advance Repaymnt Advance Repayment	Expenditure Payments St#: 6 ID: R0015609
Hampton Inn & Suites Albany	Albany, NY 12210	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	03/25/2013	\$165.48	Other: explntion hotel	Expenditure Payments St#: 8 ID: R0015931
Hampton Inn & Suites Albany	Albany, NY 12210	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	03/25/2013	\$158.4 6	Other: explntion hotel	Expenditure Payments St#: 8 ID: R0015933
Hard Rock Cafe -Yankee Stadium	Bronx, NY 10451	(2013)	12/14/2012	\$43.43	Other: explntion Meeting	Expenditure Payments St#: 6 ID: R0015589
Harlem Tavern	New York, NY 10026	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	08/24/2011	\$54.00	Fundraising Meeting	Expenditure Payments St#: 4 ID: R0011846
Hilton Hotels	Rye Brook, NY 10573	(2013)	05/28/2010	\$164.47	Other: explntion State Convention	Expenditure Payments St#: 1 ID: R0007515
Hilton Hotels	Rye Brook, NY 10573	(2013)	05/28/2010	\$164.47	Other: explntion State Convention	Expenditure Payments St#: 1 ID: R0007517
Inner Circle		(2013)	09/22/2010	\$1,000.00	Polit Contribs. Contribution	Expenditure Payments St#: 2 ID: R0008714
Inner Circle		(2013)	04/01/2010		Polit Contribs. Contribution	Expenditure Payments St#: 1 ID: R0008206
Inner Circle	To contribute the contribute of the contribute o	(2013)	04/27/2010	(\$1,000.00)		Expenditure Refunds St#: 1 ID: R0008207
	NY 10038	(2013)	07/19/2011		Office Expenses Office supplies	Expenditure Payments St#: 4 ID: R0011790
	New York, NY 10038	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	12/01/2010		Office Expenses Supplies- Printer	Expenditure Payments St#: 2 ID: R0009502
J&R Computer World	New York,	Stringer, Scott M	the entire that the control of the c	belj svide Object vjende	To you want to you have to you	

EXHIBIT B

Go to Susiness Search Home | New Search | Directory | Help Guide

Search Results for ARZT

Only Lobbyist matches were found for "ARZT".

→ View listing of lobbyist names that meet your search criteria

LOBBYIST. Multiple matches were found for "ARZT". Please select from the following list. [BACK 15 TOP]

Lobbyist	Lobbyist Address 🖹		t Client	Additional	Begin	End	Details 원		
Principal H George Arzt	123 William Street, 22nd Flo	Officer :		Lobbyists	H Date H	Date ∺		ATEM W	LL HIDE ALL
Communication Inc.	s, New York, NY 10038 United States of America	or Maya Gelfand	COOPER AND 6TH PROPERTY LC 500 West 43rd Street _39A New York, NY 10036 United States of America (212) 564-1770	/ Jane Crotty	01/01/2013	3 12/31/201	S VIEW DET	AILS	
George Arzt Communication Inc.	123 William Street, 22nd Flo s, New York, NY 10038 United States of America	or Maya Gelfand	Becaan LLC 1950 South Ocean Blvd Palm Beach, FL 33480 United States of America (561) 659-4237	George Arzt	08/27/2012	2 11/30/201	2 VIEW DE	AILS	C
George Arzt Communications Inc.	123 William Street, 22nd Flor 5, New York, NY 10038 United States of America	or Maya Gelfand	Kronos Incorporated 297 Billerica Road Chelmsford, MA 08124 United States of America (978) 250-9800	George Arzt	08/23/2012	! 12/31/201	2 VIEW DET	AILS	
George Arzt Communications Inc.	123 William Street, 22nd Floo i, New York, NY 10038 United States of America	or Maya Gelfand	Plan-Dell Food Stores, Inc. 241-10 Hilliside Avenue Bellerose, NY 11426 United States of America (718) 470-1930	Fred Winters George Arzt	08/20/2012	10/25/2013	VIEW DET	AĭLS	<u>c</u>
George Arzt Communications Inc.	123 William Street, 22nd Floo , New York, NY 10038 United States of America	or Maya Gelfand	11 East 68th Street LLC 600 Madison Avenue, 17th Floor New York, NY 10023 United States of America (212) 300-8000	George Arzt	07/26/2012	12/31/2012	VIEW DET	AILS	Ð
George Arzt Communications Inc.	123 William Street, 22nd Floo , New York, NY 10038 United States of America	r George Arzt	Generation 21, NY INC. 6709 19 ave Brooklyn, NY 11204 United States of America (718) 621-9321	Maya Gelfand George Arzt	06/20/2012	12/31/2012	VIEW DET	AILE.	酉
George Arzt Communications, Inc.	123 William Street, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10038 United States of America	r Maya Gelfand	Bike New York, Inc. 475 Riverside Drive _1300 New York, NY 10115 United States of America (212) 870-2080	Jana Crotty Segroe Arzt	06/11/2012	11/30/2012	VIEW DETA	AILS	Ē
George Arzt Communications, Inc.	123 William Street, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10038 United States of America	· Maya Gelfand	Comeil & Company, Inc. P.O. Box 807 Westville, NJ 08096 United States of America (856) 742-1900	George Arzt	05/30/2012	08/23/2012	VIEW DETA	ILS.	₽
George Arzt Communications, Inc.	123 William Street, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10038 United States of America	George Arzt	VICTOR AT FIFTH, LLC 3349 Highway 138 Bldg C - Ste C Wall, NJ 07719 United States of America (732) 280-8181	George Arzt	04/19/2012	07/31/2012	VIEWDETA	ILS	G
George Arzt Communications,	123 William Street, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10038	George Arzt	Extell Development Company 805 Third Ave, 7th Floor	George Arzt	03/20/2012	12/31/2012			Ē
Inc.	United States of America		New York, NY 10022 United States of America (212) 712-6010				Target: Boroug Subject: Upper	h President	: - Manhattan
							false		D
							P1	pensation \$0.00	Reimbursement
								\$0.00 1,000.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
							,	2,000.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
								2,000.00	\$0.00
								2,000.00	\$0.00
								,000.00	\$0.00
•								,000.00	\$0.00
George Arzt	123 William Street, 22nd Floor		20/20 INSPECTIONS INC	George Arzt	03/01/2012 1	12/31/2012	VIEW DETAI	7 6 ²	_
Communications, Inc.	New York, NY 10038 United States of America	Arzt i	POST OFFICE BOX 190872 BROOKLYN, NY 11219 United States of America (800) 819-7788	and the second s			, PIEW DETAI		_

(800) 819-7788

EXHIBIT C

Case 1:18-mj-03161-KMW Document 51-1 Filed 05/17/18 Page 10 of 10 New York City Campaign Finance Board Searchable Database

Quick Contributor Search Advanced Search Search Results								
Intermediary search Election cycle: Candidate: Stringer, Scott M Intermediary name: Arzt, George D								
Records/Page: 25 : Total Records: 11 Total Amount: \$2,000.00								
Contributor name	Address	Employer/Occupation	Candidate/Office i	late Amount	Type			
Abbruzzese, Anthony	Staten Island, NY 10309	Extell Development Extell Development Company	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	1/05/2012 \$100.00	Monetary Contribution St#: 4 ID: R001300			
Arzano, Louis	New York, NY 10014	Extell Development Engineer	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	1/05/2012 \$100.00	Monetary Contribution St#: 4 ID: R001299			
Barnett, Gary	Richmond Hill, NY 11418	Extell Development Developer	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	1/11/2012 \$400.00	Monetary Contribution St#: 4 ID: R001316			
Gargano, Donna	Southampton, NY 11968	Extell Development Senior VP, Development	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	01/11/2012 \$400.00	Monetary Contribution St#: 4 ID: R001317			
Genack, Ahuva	New York, NY 10025	Chase Manhattan Bank Lawyer	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	01/11/2012 \$100.00	Monetary Contribution St#: 4 ID: R001317			
Hertz, Dov	New York, NY 10013	Extell Development Executive VP	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	01/11/2012 \$100.00	Monetary Contribution St#: 4 ID: R001316			
Loskant, Charles	Hohokus, NJ 07423	Extell Development Construction Executive	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	01/05/2012 \$100.00	Monetary Contribution St#: 4 ID: R001300			
Mannarino, Anthony	Chappaqua, NY 10514	Extell Development Executive VP	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013))1/11/2012 \$400.00	Monetary Contribution St#: 4 ID: R001316			
Murphy, Richard L	New York, NY 10025	Youthline America CEO	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	06/14/2011 \$100.00	Monetary Contribution St#: 3 ID: R001108			
Rothstein, David	Plainview, NY 11803	Extell Development Manager	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	01/11/2012 \$100.00	Monetary Contribution St#: 4 ID: R001317			
Schwartz, Jennifer	Norwalk, CT 06850	Extell Development Senior VP	Stringer, Scott M Comptroller (2013)	01/11/2012 \$100.00	Monetary Contribution St#: 4 ID: R001316			